Exchangeable Random Graphs, Graph Limits, and Graphons

Jiasen Yang March 31, 2016

Department of Statistics Purdue University

This talk is NOT about:

- Deep learning, AlphaGo, [insert hype here] ...
- What I have been working on recently (network sampling, statistical relational learning)

This talk <mark>is</mark> about:

- A fundamental theorem in probability theory
- A recent development in graph limit theory
- And how they are connected to nonparametric models of network data

Graph Data

Exchangeable Random Graphs

Graph Limits and Graphons

Graphon Estimation

GRAPH DATA

A graph G = (V, E), where

- $V = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ is the set of vertices;
- $E \subseteq V \times V$ is the set of edges,

can be represented by an adjacency matrix A, where

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (i,j) \in E; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Assume G is simple and undirected \Rightarrow A is binary, symmetric, and $A_{ii} = 0, \forall i$.

GRAPH DATA (CONT'D)







GRAPH DATA (CONT'D)





They are the same network!



EXCHANGEABLE RANDOM GRAPHS

Definition

An exchangeable sequence is an infinite sequence X_1, X_2, \cdots of random variables whose joint distribution satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 \in A_1, X_2 \in A_2, \cdots) = \mathbb{P}(X_{\pi(1)} \in A_1, X_{\pi(2)} \in A_2, \cdots)$$

for every permutation π of $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and collection A_1, A_2, \dots of (measurable) sets.

In words, order of observations does not matter.

i.i.d. \Rightarrow exchangeable; exchangeable \Rightarrow *i.i.d.*

Example (Polyá's Urn scheme)

Consider an urn with *b* black balls and *w* white balls. Draw a ball at random and note its color. Replace the ball together with *a* balls of the same color. Repeat the procedure *ad infinitum*. Let $X_i = I$ {the *i*-th draw yields a black ball}.

The sequence X_1, X_2, \cdots is exchangeable but not *i.i.d.* / Markov.

E.g.,
$$P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 0, X_4 = 1)$$

$$= \frac{b}{b+w} \frac{b+a}{b+w+a} \frac{w+a}{b+w+2a} \frac{b+2a}{b+w+3a}$$

$$= \frac{b}{b+w} \frac{w+a}{b+w+2a} \frac{b+a}{b+w+a} \frac{b+2a}{b+w+3a}$$

$$= P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0, X_3 = 1, X_4 = 1).$$

Theorem (de Finetti, 1931)

Let X_1, X_2, \cdots be an infinite sequence of random variables with values in a space \mathcal{X} . The sequence X_1, X_2, \cdots is exchangeable if and only if there is a random probability measure Θ on \mathcal{X} —i.e., a random variable with values in the set $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ of probability distributions on \mathcal{X} —such that the X_i are conditionally i.i.d. given Θ and

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 \in A_1, X_2 \in A_2, \cdots) = \int_{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta(A_i) \nu(d\theta)$$

where ν is the distribution of Θ .

P. Orbanz and D. M. Roy (2015). Bayesian Models of Graphs, Arrays and Other Exchangeable Random Structures. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 37(2):437-461.

Adj. matrix of undirected graph = binary & symmetric array

- \Rightarrow de Finetti exchangeability too strong!
- \Rightarrow Need generalization of exchangeability to arrays.

Adj. matrix of undirected graph = binary & symmetric array

 \Rightarrow de Finetti exchangeability too strong!

 \Rightarrow Need generalization of exchangeability to arrays.



Peter Orbanz. http://stat.columbia.edu/~porbanz/talks/slides_exarrays.pdf

Definition

A random array $(X_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is called separately exchangeable if

$$(X_{ij}) \stackrel{d}{=} (X_{\pi(i)\pi'(j)})$$

holds for every pair of permutations π, π' of \mathbb{N} .

Definition

A random array $(X_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is called jointly exchangeable if

$$(X_{ij}) \stackrel{d}{=} (X_{\pi(i)\pi(j)})$$

holds for every permutation π of \mathbb{N} .

P. Orbanz and D. M. Roy (2015). Bayesian Models of Graphs, Arrays and Other Exchangeable Random Structures. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 37(2):437-461.

ALDOUS-HOOVER THEOREM

Theorem (Aldous, 1981-Hoover, 1979) A random array $(X_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is

• jointly exchangeable iff. \exists a random measurable function $F : [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ s.t.

$$(X_{ij}) \stackrel{d}{=} (F(U_i, U_j, U_{\{\{i,j\}\}}))$$

• separately exchangeable iff. \exists a random measurable function $F : [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ s.t.

$$(X_{ij}) \stackrel{d}{=} (F(U_i, U'_j, U_{ij}))$$

where
$$U_i, U_{\{\{i,j\}\}}, U'_j, U_{ij} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim}$$
 Uniform[0, 1].

P. Orbanz and D. M. Roy (2015). Bayesian Models of Graphs, Arrays and Other Exchangeable Random Structures. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 37(2):437-461.

Definition

An undirected *random* graph $G = (\mathbb{N}, E)$ with an infinite (countable) vertex set \mathbb{N} and a *random* edge set E is called an exchangeable random graph if its *random* adjacency matrix $A = (A_{ij})$ is a jointly exchangeable array.

Thus, *G* is exchangeable if its distribution is invariant under relabeling of the vertices.

EXCHANGEABLE RANDOM GRAPHS

Adjacency matrix $A = (A_{ij})$ symmetric & binary: $A_{ij} \stackrel{d}{=} F(U_i, U_j, U_{\{i,j\}}) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbb{I}\{U_{\{i,j\}} < W(U_i, U_j)\}$

12/21

EXCHANGEABLE RANDOM GRAPHS

Adjacency matrix $A = (A_{ij})$ symmetric & binary:

$$A_{ij} \stackrel{d}{=} F(U_i, U_j, U_{\{i,j\}}) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbb{I}\{U_{\{i,j\}} < W(U_i, U_j)\}$$

Sample $W : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$ measurable & symmetric (graphon); Sample $U_1, U_2, \dots \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim}$ Uniform[0,1];

Sample $A_{ij} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(W(U_i, U_j))$ for i < j.



P. Orbanz and D. M. Roy (2015). Bayesian Models of Graphs, Arrays and Other Exchangeable Random Structures. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 37(2):437-461.

GRAPH LIMITS AND GRAPHONS

Rado graph: $A_{ij} = A_{ji} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim}$ Bernoulli(1/2), $i \neq j \in \mathbb{N}$.



Rado graph:
$$A_{ij} = A_{ji} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim}$$
 Bernoulli(1/2), $i \neq j \in \mathbb{N}$.



Limit graphon (graph function):

$$f: [0,1]^2 \to [0,1], (x,y) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}.$$

D. Glasscock, What is a graphon?, Notices of the AMS 62 (2015), no. 1.

GRAPH LIMITS (CONT'D)

Growing uniform attachment: Let $G_1 = \bullet$. For $n \ge 2$, construct G_n from G_{n-1} by adding one new vertex, then, drawing an edge between each pair of non-adjacent vertices with probability $\frac{1}{n}$.



GRAPH LIMITS (CONT'D)

Growing uniform attachment: Let $G_1 = \bullet$. For $n \ge 2$, construct G_n from G_{n-1} by adding one new vertex, then, drawing an edge between each pair of non-adjacent vertices with probability $\frac{1}{n}$.



Limit graphon:

$$f: [0,1]^2 \to [0,1], (x,y) \mapsto 1 - \max(x,y).$$

Definition

A labeled graphon is a symmetric, Lebesgue-measurable *a.e.* function $W : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$. A labeled graphon determines the equivalence class of graphons

$$[W] = \{W^{\phi} : (x, y) \mapsto W(\phi(x), \phi(y))\},\$$

where ϕ is an invertible, measure-preserving transformation of [0, 1]. Such equivalence classes are called unlabeled graphons.

Definition

The cut distance between two labeled graphons W and U is

$$\delta_{\Box}(W,U) = \inf_{\substack{\phi,\psi \text{ m.p.t.} \\ \text{of } [0,1]}} \sup_{\substack{S,T \subseteq [0,1] \\ \text{measurable}}} \left| \int_{S \times T} W^{\phi}(x,y) - U^{\psi}(x,y) \right|.$$

Theorem

Every graphon is the δ_{\Box} -limit of a sequence of finite graphs.

Theorem (Lovász-Szegedy)

Let \mathcal{G} be the space of unlabeled graphons (modulo weak isometry). The metric space $(\mathcal{G}, \delta_{\Box})$ is compact.

GRAPHON ESTIMATION

EXCHANGEABLE RANDOM GRAPHS

Adjacency matrix $A = (A_{ij})$ symmetric & binary:

$$A_{ij} \stackrel{d}{=} F(U_i, U_j, U_{\{i,j\}}) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbb{I}\{U_{\{i,j\}} < W(U_i, U_j)\}$$

Sample $W : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$ measurable & symmetric (graphon); Sample $U_1, U_2, \dots \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim}$ Uniform[0,1];

Sample $A_{ij} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(W(U_i, U_j))$ for i < j.



P. Orbanz and D. M. Roy (2015). Bayesian Models of Graphs, Arrays and Other Exchangeable Random Structures. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 37(2):437-461. Problem: Estimate graphon *W* from a set of observed networks.

Nonparametric regression: estimate *W* from $\{(U_i, U_j), A_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Challenge: design points $\{(U_i, U_j)\}$ are latent.

Proposed estimators:

- Stochastic blockmodel approximation [Airoldi et.al., 2013], [Gao et.al., 2015]
- Histogram estimator (sorting-and-smoothing) [Chan and Airoldi, 2014]
- Gaussian process model [Lloyd et.al., 2012], [Orbanz and Roy, 2015]
- E. M. Airoldi, T. B. Costa, and S. H. Chan. (2013) Stochastic Blockmodel Approximation of a Graphon: Theory and Consistent Estimation. *NIPS* '13.
 Gao, C., Lu, Y. and Zhou, H. H. (2015). Rate-Optimal Graphon Estimation. *Ann. Statist.* 43(6):2624-2652.
 S.H. Chan and E.M. Airoldi (2014). A Consistent Histogram Estimator for Exchangeable Graph Models. *ICML* '14.
 J.R. Lloyd, P. Orbanz, Z. Ghahramani, and D.M. Roy (2012). Random Function Priors for Exchangeable Arrays with Applications to Graphs and Relational Data. *NIPS* '12.
 P. Orbanz and D. M. Roy (2015). Bayesian Models of Graphs, Arrays and Other Exchangeable Random Structures. *IEFE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 37(2):437-61.

- \cdot Community detection
- Link prediction:

$$\mathbb{P}((i,j) \in E) = \mathbb{P}(A_{ij} = 1) = W(U_i, U_j)$$

Network comparison & hypothesis testing

BAD NEWS

Real-world graphs are **sparse** (finite # of edges per vertex).

- Power-law degree distribution;
- Small-world phenomena.

BAD NEWS

Real-world graphs are **sparse** (finite # of edges per vertex).

- Power-law degree distribution;
- Small-world phenomena.

Theorem (Misspecification)

If a random graph is exchangeable, it is either dense or empty.

Proof.

For a random graph G_n with n vertices, the expected proportion of present edges is

$$p := \int_{[0,1]^2} W(x,y) \, dx \, dy.$$

If p = 0, G_n is empty; if p > 0, G_n has $p \cdot {n \choose 2} = \Theta(n^2)$ edges in expectation.

P. Orbanz and D. M. Roy (2015). Bayesian Models of Graphs, Arrays and Other Exchangeable Random Structures. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 37(2):437-461. The limit object of a convergent sequence of sparse graphs in the cut metric is always the empty graphon.

Representation theorems for sparse random graphs?

 P. Orbanz and D. M. Roy (2015). Bayesian Models of Graphs, Arrays and Other Exchangeable Random Structures. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 37(2):437-461.
 F. Caron and E.B. Fox (2015). Sparse Graphs using Exchangeable Random Measures. arXiv:1401.1137, 2015.

THANK YOU!